

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/643,291	08/19/2003	Akihiko Taniguchi	501152.20019	4056
26418	7590 03/18/2005		EXAM	INER
REED SMIT	•	FAISON, VERONICA F		
ATTN: PATENT RECORDS DEPARTMENT 599 LEXINGTON AVENUE, 29TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK,	NEW YORK, NY 10022-7650			
	,		DATE MAILED: 03/18/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/643,291	TANIGUCHI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Veronica F. Faison	1755				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 December 2004.						
·— · · <u>—</u> ·						
, <u> </u>						
Disposition of Claims						
A) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 1755

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Claims 1, 5 and 6 have been amended. No claims have been added or canceled. Hence, claims 1-7 are pending in the application. The amendment was persuasive to the extent that the claim objection and 112 rejection has been withdrawn.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-4 and 7 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 9 of copending Application No. 10/387,739. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the present application overlap said published claims and would be obvious thereby.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Art Unit: 1755

"Products of identical chemical composition can not have mutually exclusive properties." A chemical composition and its properties are inseparable. Therefore, if the prior art teaches the identical chemical structure, the properties applicant discloses and/or claims are necessarily present. In re Spada, 911 F.2d 705, 709, 15 USPQ2d 1655, 1658 (Fed. Cir. 1990).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Koga et al (US 2003/0179268)

The applied reference has a common inventor with the instant application.

Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Koga et al teach a water based ink comprising a surfactant represented by the following formula (1) or (2), dipropylene glycol, a colorant and water

Art Unit: 1755

$$(CH_{2}CH_{2}O)_{x}-H$$

$$(CH_{2}CH_{2}O)_{y}-H$$

$$(CH_{2}CH_{2}O)_{y}-H$$

$$(CH_{3}--(CH_{2})_{11}--O--(CH_{2}CH_{2}O)_{z}-H$$

$$(2)$$

wherein formula (1) R represents alkyl group, and x and y represent integers which satisfy x+y= 5 to 15 (abstract and page 2 para. 0015-0016). The reference further teaches that formula (1) is a polyoxyethylene alkylamine such as ETHOMEEN C/15 and ETHOMEEN S/25 and may be present in the amount of 0.01 to 10 percent by weight (page 2 para, 00290 and page 3 para 0031). The colorant may be a water-soluble dye and pigments, wherein both organic and inorganic pigments may be used (page 3 para 0034-0035). In the examples the reference uses CAB-O-JET 300 Black as a colorant that is the same colorant as disclosed in Applicant specification as an anionic selfdispersing coloring agent. The ink composition may be used in ink jet recording apparatus comprising an ink cartridge (page 7 para. 0064). The reference remains silent to the curve, which represents a change of surface tension of the ink with respect to a concentration of the surfactant. However it is the position of the Examiner that this curve that represents a change of surface tension of the ink with respect to a concentration of the surfactant is inherent to the ink composition taught by Koga et al because Koga et al teaches the same surfactant in the same concentration. The ink composition as taught by Koga et al appears to anticipate the claimed invention.

Art Unit: 1755

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sago et al (US 2003/0174193)

The applied reference has a common inventor with the instant application.

Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Sago et al teach a water base ink composition comprising an amine compound represented by a specified structural formula, a surfactant having a specified structural formula, a coloring agent and water (abstract and page 1 para. 0010). The reference further teaches that the surfactant may have structure of the following:

$$R^1$$
—N
 $(CH_2CH_2O)_x$ —H
 $(CH_2CH_2O)_y$ —H

wherein R¹ represents alkyl group and x and y are integers to satisfy x+y= 5 to 15 (col. 1 para. 00112-page 2 para. 0013 and page 3 para. 0027-0028). ETHOMEEN C/15 and ETHOMEEN S/25 are disclosed as examples of polyoxyethylene alkylamine represented by the formula above (page 3 para. 0029) which may be present in the amount of 0.01 to 10 percent by weight (page 3 para. 0034). The reference discloses that any dye or pigment may be present in the ink composition including self-modified pigment such as CAB-O-JET 300 which Applicant has disclosed as an anionic self-

dispersing pigment (page 3 para. 0035-page 4 para. 0039). The reference remains silent to the curve, which represents a change of surface tension of the ink with respect to a concentration of the surfactant. However it is the position of the Examiner that this curve that represents a change of surface tension of the ink with respect to a concentration of the surfactant is inherent to the ink composition taught by Sago et al because Sago et al teaches the same surfactant in the same concentration. The ink composition as taught by Sago et al appears to anticipate the claimed invention.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 12-14-04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Koga et al and Sago do not qualify as prior art because Applicant's date of invention is at least August 19,2002 – the date when it Japanese priority application was filed. Applicant cannot rely upon the foreign priority papers to overcome this rejection because a translation of said papers has not been made of record in accordance with 37 CFR 1.55. See MPEP § 201.15.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Art Unit: 1755

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Veronica F. Faison whose telephone number is 571-272-1366. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday and alternate Fridays 8 am to 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jerry Lorengo can be reached on 571-272-1233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

VFF 3-7-05